ҚАЗАҚСТАН РЕСПУБЛИКАСЫ ҒЫЛЫМ ЖӘНЕ ЖОҒАРЫ БІЛІМ МИНИСТРЛІГІ ҒЫЛЫМ КОМИТЕТІ Ш.Ш. УӘЛИХАНОВ АТЫНДАҒЫ ТАРИХ ЖӘНЕ ЭТНОЛОГИЯ ИНСТИТУТЫ



«EDU.E-HISTORY.KZ» ЭЛЕКТРОНДЫҚ ҒЫЛЫМИ ЖУРНАЛЫ

2024. 11 (3) шілде-қыркүйек

ISSN 2710-3994



ISSN 2710-3994 (online)

Құрылтайшысы және баспагері: Қазақстан Республикасы Ғылым және жоғары білім министрлігі Ғылым комитеті Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институты ШЖҚ РМК

Ғылыми журнал Қазақстан Республикасы Инвестициялар және даму министрлігінің Байланыс, ақпараттандыру және ақпарат комитетінде 2014 ж. 29 қазанында тіркелген. Тіркеу нөмірі № 14602-ИА. Жылына 4 рет жарияланады (электронды нұсқада).

Журналда тарих ғылымының *келесі бағыттары* бойынша ғылыми жұмыстар жарияланады: тарих (дүниежүзі және Қазақстан тарихы), деректану және тарихнама, археология, этнология, антропология.

Жарияланым тілдері: қазақ, орыс, ағылшын.

Редакция мен баспаның мекен-жайы: 050010 Қазақстан Республикасы, Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28-үй ҚР ҒЖБМ ҒК Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институты ШЖҚ РМК Тел.: +7 (727) 261-67-19, +7 (727) 272-47-59 Е-mail: edu.history@bk.ru Журнал сайты: https://edu.e-history.kz

> © Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институты 2024 © Авторлар ұжымы, 2024

БАС РЕДАКТОР

Қабылдинов Зиябек Ермұқанұлы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА корр.-мүшесі, ҚР ҒЖБМ ҒКШ.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының бас директоры. (Қазақстан)

РЕДАКЦИЯЛЫҚ АЛҚА

Аяған Бүркітбай Ғелманұлы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҒЖБМ ҒК Мемлекет тарихы институтыдиректорының орынбасары. (Қазақстан)

Әлімбай Нұрсан — тарих ғылымдарының кандидаты, профессор, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнологияинститутының бас ғылыми қызметкері. (Қазақстан)

Әбіл Еркін Аманжолұлы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР БҒМ ҒК Мемлекет тарихы институтыныңдиректоры. (Қазақстан)

Вернер Кунтhua (Werner, Cynthia) — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Техас университеті. (АҚШ). Голден Кэтти Стромайл (Kathie Stromile Golden) — PhD, Миссисипи өңірлік мемлекеттік университеті (Mississippi Valley State University). (АҚШ)

Кәрібаев Берекет Бақытжанұлы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, Әл-Фарабиатындағы Қазақ ұлттық университеті, «Қазақстан тарихы» кафедрасының меңгерушісі. (Қазақстан)

Қожамжарова Дария Пернешқызы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, М. Әуезоватындағы Оңтүстік Қазақстан университетінің ректоры. (Қазақстан)

Кожирова Светлана Басиевна — саясаттану ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Фудан Университетінің Қытай жәнеОрталық Азияны зерттеу орталығының мен «Астана» ХҒК бірлескен директоры. (Қазақстан)

Дайнер Александр (Diener Alexander) — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор. Канзас университеті. (АҚШ)

Көкебаева Гүлжауһар Какенқызы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Абай атындағы Қазақ ұлттық педагогикалық университеті. (Қазақстан)

Көмеков Болат Ешмұхамедұлы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, Әл-Фараби атындағы Қазақ ұлттық университеті Халықаралық қыпшақтану институтының директоры, Л.Н. Гумилев атындағы Еуразия ұлттық университетінің профессоры. (Қазақстан)

Матыжанов Кенжехан Ісләмжанұлы — филология ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА корр.-мүшесі, М.О. Әуезов атындағы әдебиет және өнер институтының директоры. (Қазақстан)

Moppucon Александр (Morrison Alexander) — PhD, Оксфорд университетінің профессоры. (Ұлыбритания)

Муминов Ашірбек Құрбанұлы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Ислам тарихы, өнер және мәдениетғылыми-зерттеу орталығының аға ғылыми қызметкері IRCICA – İslam Tarih, Sanat ve Kültür Araştırma Merkezi. (Түркия)

Римантас Желвис (Želvys Rimantas) — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Вильнюс педагогикалық университеті. (Литва)

Самашев Зайнолла Самашұлы — археолог, тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Герман археология институтының корр.-мүшесі. ҚР ҒЖБМ ҒК Ә. Марғұлан атындағы Археология институты. (Қазақстан)

Смағұлов Оразақ Смағұлұлы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, Балон ғылым академиясының корр.-мүшесі, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы сыйлықтың лауреаты, ғылым мен техниканың еңбек сіңірген қайраткері, Л.Н. Гумилев атындағы Еуразия ұлттық университетінің профессоры. (Қазақстан)

Сыдықов Ерлан Бәтташұлы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Л.Н. Гумилев атындағы Еуразия ұлттық университетінің ректоры. (Қазақстан)

Таймағамбетов Жәкен Қожахметұлы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, ҚР ҰҒА академигі, ҚР Ұлттық музейі. (Қазақстан)

ЖАУАПТЫ РЕДАКТОР

Қаипбаева Айнагүл Толғанбайқызы — тарих ғылымдарының кандидаты, қауымдастырылған профессор, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының жетекші ғылыми қызметкері. (Қазақстан)

ҒЫЛЫМИ РЕДАКТОРЛАР

Қозыбаева Махаббат Мәлікқызы — PhD, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының Астанақаласындағы филиалының директоры. (Қазақстан)

Қапаева Айжан Тоқанқызы — тарих ғылымдарының докторы, профессор, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих жәнеэтнология институтының Бас ғылыми қызметкері. (Қазақстан)

Кубеев Рустем Жаулыбайұлы — Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының ғылыми қызметкері. (Қазақстан)

ТЕХНИКАЛЫҚ ХАТШЫ

Копеева Сания Жуматайқызы — магистр, Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институтының қызметкері.(Қазақстан).

ISSN 2710-3994 (online)

Учредитель и издатель: РГП на ПХВ «Институт истории и этнологии им.Ч.Ч. Валиханова» Комитета науки Министерства науки и высшего образования Республики Казахстан

Научный журнал зарегистрирован в Комитете связи, информатизации и информации Министерства по инвестициям и развитию Республики Казахстан, свидетельство о регистрации:

№ 14602-ИА от 29.10.2014 г. Публикуется 4 раза в год (в электронном формате).

В журнале публикуются научные работы *по следующим направлениям* исторической науки: история (всемирная история и история Казахстана), источниковедение и историография, археология, этнология, антропология.

Языки публикации: казахский, русский, английский. *Адрес редакции и издательства:* 050010 Республика Казахстан, г. Алматы, ул. Шевченко, д. 28 РГП на ПХВ Институт истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова КН МНВО РК Тел.: +7 (727) 261-67-19, +7 (727) 272-47-59 E-mail: edu.history@bk.ru Сайт журнала: https://edu.e-history.kz

> © Институт истории и этнологии имени Ч.Ч. Валиханова, 2024 © Коллектив авторов, 2024

ГЛАВНЫЙ РЕДАКТОР

Кабульдинов Зиябек Ермуханович — доктор исторических наук, профессор, чл.-корр. НАН РК, генеральный директор Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова КН МНВО РК. (Казахстан)

РЕДАКЦИОННАЯ КОЛЛЕГИЯ

Алимбай Нурсан — кандидат исторических наук, профессор, главный научный сотрудник Института истории изтнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова. (Казахстан)

Абиль Еркин Аманжолович — доктор исторических наук, профессор, директор Института истории государства КН МНВО РК. (Казахстан)

Аяган Буркитбай Гелманович — доктор исторических наук, профессор, заместитель директора Института истории государства КН МНВО РК. (Казахстан)

Вернер Синтия (Werner, Cynthia) — доктор исторических наук, профессор. Техасский университет. (США)

Голден Кэтти Стромайл (Kathie Stromile Golden) — PhD, Государственный университет долины Миссисипи (Mississippi Valley State University). (США)

Дайнер Александр (Diener Alexander) — доктор исторических наук, профессор. Канзасский университет. (США)

Исмагулов Оразак Исмагулович — доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, член-корр. Болонской академии наук, лауреат премии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова, заслуженный деятель науки и техники, профессор Евразийского национального университета имени Л.Н. Гумилева. (Казахстан)

Карибаев Берекет Бахытжанович — доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, заведующий кафедрой истории Казахстана, Казахский национальный университет им. аль-Фараби. (Казахстан)

Кожамжарова Дария Пернешовна — доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, ректор Южно- Казахстанского университета им. М. Ауэзова. (Казахстан)

Кожирова Светлана Басиевна — доктор политических наук, профессор, содиректор Центра исследования Китая и Центральной Азии Фуданьского Университета и МНК «Астана», руководитель Центра китайских и азиатских исследований. (Казахстан)

Кокебаева Гульжаухар Какеновна — доктор исторических наук, профессор Казахского национального педагогического университета имени Абая. (Казахстан)

Кумеков Болат Ешмухамбетович — доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, директор Международного института кипчаковедения Казахского национального университета имени аль-Фараби, профессор Евразийского национального университета имени Л.Н. Гумилева. (Казахстан)

Матыжанов Кенжехан Слямжанович — доктор филологических наук, профессор, чл.-корр. НАН РК, директор Института литературы и искусства им. М. Ауэзова. (Казахстан)

Моррисон Александр (Morrison Alexander) — PhD, профессор Оксфордского университета. (Великобритания) Муминов Аширбек Курбанович — доктор исторических наук, профессор, старший научный сотрудник Исследовательского центра исламской истории, искусства и культуры. IRCICA – İslâm Tarih, Sanat ve Kültür AraştırmaMerkezi. (Турция)

Римантас Желвис (Želvys Rimantas) — доктор педагогических наук, профессор, Вильнюсский педагогический университет. (Литва)

Самашев Зайнолла Самашевич — археолог, доктор исторических наук, профессор, чл.-корр. Германского археологического института. Институт археологии им. А. Маргулана КН МНВО РК. (Казахстан)

Сыдыков Ерлан Батташевич — доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, ректор Евразийскогонационального университета им. Л.Н. Гумилева. (Казахстан)

Таймагамбетов Жакен Кожахметович — доктор исторических наук, профессор, академик НАН РК, Национальный музей РК. (Казахстан)

ОТВЕТСТВЕННЫЙ РЕДАКТОР

Каипбаева Айнагуль Толганбаевна — кандидат исторических наук, ведущий научный сотрудник Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова. (Казахстан)

НАУЧНЫЕ РЕДАКТОРЫ

Козыбаева Махаббат Маликовна — PhD, директор филиала в г. Астана Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова. (Казахстан).

Капаева Айжан Токановна — доктор исторических наук, профессор, главный научный сотрудник Института историии этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова. (Казахстан).

Кубеев Рустем Джаулыбайулы — научный сотрудник Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова. (Казахстан).

ТЕХНИЧЕСКИЙ СЕКРЕТАРЬ

Копеева Сания Жуматаевна — магистр, сотрудник Института истории и этнологии им. Ч.Ч. Валиханова. (Казахстан).

ISSN 2710-3994 (online)

Founder and publisher: RSE on REM "Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology" of the Committee of Science of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan

The scientific journal is registered at the Committee for Communications, Informatization and Information of the Ministry for Investments and Development of the Republic of Kazakhstan, registration certificate: No. 14602-I/A dated October 29, 2014. The journal is published 4 times a year (in electronic format).

The journal publishes scientific works in the *following areas* of historical science: history (world history and history of Kazakhstan), source studies and historiography, archeology, ethnology, anthropology.

Publication languages: Kazakh, Russian, English.
Editorial and publisher address:
28 Shevchenko Str., 050010, Almaty, Republic of Kazakhstan
RSE on REM Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology CS MSHE of the Republic of Kazakhstan
Tel.: +7 (727) 261-67-19, +7 (727) 272-47-59
E-mail: edu.history@bk.ru
Journal website: https://edu.e-history.kz

© Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology, 2024 © Group of authors, 2024

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF

Kabuldinov Ziabek Ermukhanovich — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Corresponding Member of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, General Director of Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology SC MSHE RK. (Kazakhstan)

EDITORIAL BOARD

Alimbay Nursan — Candidate of Historical Sciences, Professor, Chief Researcher at the Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology. (Kazakhstan)

Abil Yerkin Amanzholovich — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Director of the Institute of History of the State CS MES RK.(Kazakhstan)

Ayagan Burkitbai Gelmanovich — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Deputy Director of the Institute of History of the State SCMSHE RK. (Kazakhstan)

Werner, Cynthia — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Texas university. (USA)

Golden Kathie Stromile — PhD, Mississippi Valley State University. (USA)

Ismagulov Orazak Ismagulovich — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Corresponding Member of Bologna Academy of Sciences, winner of Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Award, Honored Worker of Science and Technology, Professor of L.N. Gumilyov University. (Kazakhstan)

Karibayev Bereket Bakhytzhanovich — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Head of the Department of History of Kazakhstan, Al-Farabi Kazakh National University. (Kazakhstan)

Kozhamzharova Daria Perneshovna — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the NAS of the Republic of Kazakhstan, rector of the M. Auezov South Kazakhstan University. (Kazakhstan)

Kozhirova Svetlana Bassievna — Doctor of Political Science, Professor, Co-Director of the Center for the Study of China and Central Asia of Fudan University and the International Scientific Complex of the National Company "Astana", Head of the Center for Chineseand Asian Studies. (Kazakhstan)

Diener Alexander — Doctor of Political Science, Professor, University of Kansas. (USA)

Kokebayeva Gulzhaukhar Kakenovna — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor at the Abai Kazakh National Pedagogical University. (Kazakhstan)

Kumekov Bolat Eshmukhambetovich — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, Director of the International Institute of Kipchak Studies of the Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Professor at L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University. (Kazakhstan)

Matyzhanov Kenzhekhan Slyamzhanovich — Doctor of Philology, Professor, Corresponding Member of the NAS RK, Director of M. Auezov Institute of Literature and Art. (Kazakhstan)

Morrison Alexander — PhD, Professor, University of Oxford. (UK)

Muminov Ashirbek Kurbanovich — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Senior Researcher at the Research Center for IslamicHistory, Art and Culture. IRCICA (İslBm Tarih, Sanat ve Kültür Araştırma Merkezi). (Turkey)

Rimantas Želvys — Doctor of Pedagogical Sciences, Professor, Vilnius Pedagogical University. (Lithuania)

Samashev Zainolla Samashevich — archaeologist, Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Corresponding Member of German Archaeological Institute. A. Marghulan Institute of Archeology SC MSHE RK. (Kazakhstan)

Sydykov Erlan Battashevich — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of theRepublic of Kazakhstan, Rector of L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University. (Kazakhstan)

Taimagambetov Zhaken Kozhakhmetovich — Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Academician of the National Academy of Sciences of the Republic of Kazakhstan, National Museum of the Republic of Kazakhstan. (Kazakhstan)

EXECUTIVE EDITOR

Kaipbayeva Ainagul Tolganbayevna — Candidate of Historical Sciences, leading researcher at Ch.Ch. Valikahnov Institute of Historyand Ethnology (Kazakhstan).

ACADEMIC EDITOR

Kozybayeva Makhabbat Malikovna — PhD, Director of Astana branch of the Ch.Ch. Valikahnov Institute of History and Ethnology.(Kazakhstan)

Kapaeva Aizhan Tokanovna— Doctor of Historical Sciences, Professor, Chief Researcher at the Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of Historyand Ethnology. (Kazakhstan)

Kubeyev Rustem Dzhaulybayuly — researcher at Ch.Ch. Valikahnov Institute of History and Ethnology. (Kazakhstan)

TECHNICAL SECRETARY

Kopeyeva Saniya Zhumataevna — Master's, researcher at Ch.Ch. Valikhanov Institute of History and Ethnology. (Kazakhstan)

ТАРИХ / ИСТОРИЯ / HISTORY

Published in the Republic of Kazakhstan Electronic scientific journal "edu.e-history.kz" Has been issued as a journal since 2014 ISSN 2710-3994. Vol. 11. Is. 3, pp. 558–571, 2024 Journal homepage: https://edu.e-history.kz

FTAXP / МРНТИ / IRSTI 03.20 https://doi.org/10.51943/2710-3994_2024_39_3_558-571

KEY OFFICIALS IN THE QARAKHANID COURT: SHAPING FOREIGN POLICY

Almagambetova Aliya^{1*}, Aydın Usta²

¹Al-Farabi Kazakh National University
(71, Al-Farabi Avenue, 050038 Almaty, Republic of Kazakhstan)
PhD Student of the historical faculty
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3168-6610. E-mail: almagambetova2015@gmail.com
*Corresponding author

²Mimar Sinan Fine Arts University (71, Cumhuriyet Mah. Silahşör Cad., Bomonti Şişli, Istanbul, Türkiye) Doctor, Professor E-mail: aydinusta@gmail.com

© Ch.Ch. Valikhanov IHE, 2024 © Almagambetova A., Usta A., 2024

Abstract. Introduction. The history of the Qarakhanids remains a relatively unexplored subject due to the lack of direct Qarakhanid sources and the fragmented nature of information from neighboring states' records. Goals and objectives. Delving into the realm of foreign policy and its intricate aspects offers a unique avenue to gain insights into the ambitions and strategies of this dynasty, thereby greatly enriching our understanding of its historical trajectory. Results. This article undertakes an examination of the distinct roles and functions associated with positions responsible for the Qarakhanid state's foreign policy activities. The presence and structured hierarchy of roles in foreign policy operations indicate a specific level of sophistication in the organizational structure of a state. This aspect is crucial for understanding the historical evolution of the state. The vizier, khas hajīb, hajīb, biruk, kapugbashi, and yalavar were key figures in the state's foreign policy machinery. Each role had a specific, important function that was not replicated by the others. This element is crucial in the history of the ancient state. Thus, in our detailed analysis of the Karakhanid state's foreign policy, we thoroughly examine the role of the military department in coordinating international relations, its influence on domestic policy, interactions with neighboring states, and efforts to secure agreements that promote the stability and prosperity of the Karakhanid state. *Conclusion.* Through a comprehensive analysis of these positions, the author concludes that every participant in the foreign policy process played a crucial part, collectively contributing to the shaping of the dynasty's foreign policy stance.

Keywords: The Qarakhanids, foreign policy, officials, diplomacy, envoy, hajīb, biruk

For citation: Almagambetova A., Usta A. Key officials in the qarakhanid court: shaping foreign policy // Electronic scientific journal "edu.e-history.kz". 2024. Vol. 11. No. 3. Pp. 558–571. (In Eng.). DOI: 10.51943/2710-3994_2024_11_3_558-571

ҚАРАХАНДАР САРАЙЫНДАҒЫ НЕГІЗГІ ЛАУАЗЫМДАР: СЫРТҚЫ САЯСАТТЫ ҚАЛЫПТАСТЫРУ

Алмагамбетова Алия¹*, Айдын Уста²

¹Әл-Фараби атындағы Қазақ ұлттық университеті (71-үй, Әл-Фараби даңғ., 050038 Алматы, Қазақстан Республикасы) Тарих факультетінің PhD докторанты https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3168-6610. E-mail: almagambetova2015@gmail.com *Автор-корреспондент

²Мимар Синан атындағы өнер университеті, Стамбул, Түркия (71-үй, Джумхуриет мах. Силахшор көш., Бомонти Шишли, Ыстамбул, Түркия) Доктор, профессор E-mail: aydinusta@gmail.com

© Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы ТЭИ, 2024 © Алмагамбетова А., Уста А., 2024

Андатпа. Кіріспе. Қарахандар тарихы әулеттің өзінен алынған тікелей дереккөздердің жоқтығына және көрші мемлекеттердің деректерінің шашыраңқы болуына байланысты салыстырмалы түрде зерттелмеген тақырып болып саналады. Сыртқы саясат саласын және оның күрделі аспектілерін талдау бұл әулеттің амбициялары мен стратегиясын тереңірек түсінуге бірегей мүмкіндік береді, бұл өз кезегінде олардың тарихи жолы туралы түсінігімізді айтарлықтай байытады. Бұл мақалада Қарахан мемлекетінің сыртқы саясатына жауапты лауазымдардың ерекше рөлдері мен қызметтері талданады. Сыртқы саяси операциялардағы рөлдердің болуы және олардың құрылымдық иерархиясы мемлекеттің ұйымдық құрылымындағы күрделіліктің жоғары деңгейін көрсетеді. Визир, хас хаджиб, хаджиб, бирук, капугбаши, ялавар мемлекеттің сыртқы саяси аппаратының маңызды құрамдас бөлігі болды. Әрбір позиция өзінің маңыздылығы бойынша бірегей және қайталанбайтын ерекше функцияға ие болды. Бұл аспект мемлекеттің тарихи дамуын түсінуде маңызды рөл атқарады. Сондықтан Қарахан мемлекетінің сыртқы саясатын толығырақ қарастыра отырып, біз, қарахандықтардың тұрақтылығы мен гүлденуін қамтамасыз етуге бағытталған халықаралық өзара іс-қимылдарды уйлестіруге жауапты мемлекеттік аппараттың жұмысын және оның ішкі саясатқа, көршілес мемлекеттермен өзара әрекеттесуіне және күрделі дипломатиялық қатынастарға әсерін егжей-тегжейлі көрсетуге тырысамыз. Осы ерекшеліктерді жан-жақты талдай отырып, сыртқы саяси процеске катысушылардың әрқайсысы әулеттің сыртқы саяси ұстанымының қалыптасуына бірлесіп үлес қосып, шешуші рөл атқарды деген қорытындыға келеміз.

Түйін сөздер: Қарахандар, сыртқы саясат, лауазымды тұлғалар, дипломатия, елші, хаджиб, бирук

Дәйексөз үшін: Алмагамбетова А., Уста А. Қарахандар сарайындағы негізгі лауазымдар: сыртқы саясатты қалыптастыру // «Edu.e-history.kz» электрондық ғылыми журналы. 2024. Т. 11. № 3. 558–571 бб. (Ағылш.). DOI: 10.51943/2710-3994_2024_11_3_558-571

ГЛАВНЫЕ ДОЛЖНОСТИ ПРИ ДВОРЕ КАРАХАНИДОВ: ФОРМИРОВАНИЕ ВНЕШНЕЙ ПОЛИТИКИ

Алмагамбетова Алия^{1*}, Айдын Уста²

¹Казахский Национальный университет имени Аль-Фараби
(д. 71, просп. Аль-Фараби, 050038 Алматы, Республика Казахстан)
PhD докторант исторического факультета
*Автор-корреспондент
https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3168-6610. E-mail: almagambetova2015@gmail.com

²Мимар Синан атындағы өнер университеті (д. 71, Мимар Синан Унивеситет искусств, Джумхуриет мах. ул.Силахшор Бомонти Шишли, Стамбул, Турция) Доктор, профессор E-mail: aydinusta@gmail.com

© ИИЭ имени Ч.Ч. Валиханова, 2024 © Алмагамбетова А., Уста А., 2024

Аннотация. Введение. Караханидская история остаётся мало исследованной из-за отсутствия первичных источников от самой династии и фрагментарной природы данных из соседних государств. Цели и задачи. Анализ области внешней политики и её сложных аспектов предоставляет уникальную возможность глубже понять амбиции и стратегию этой династии, что в свою очередь существенно обогащает наше представление об их историческом пути. Результаты. В данной статье проведён анализ различных ролей и функций, связанных с должностями, ответственными за внешнюю политику Караханидского государства. Наличие и структурированная иерархия ролей во внешнеполитических операциях указывают на высокий уровень сложности организационной структуры государства. Визирь, хас хаджиб, капугбаши, хаджиб, бирук. ялавар составляли важнейшую составляющую внешнеполитического аппарата государства. Каждая должность имела отдельную функцию, уникальную по своему значению и не дублируемую другими. Этот аспект играет важную роль в понимании исторической эволюции государства. Поэтому при более детальном рассмотрении внешней политики Караханидского государства мы попытаемся подробно работу представить государственного аппарата, ответственного за координацию взаимодействий, его воздействие международных И на внутреннюю политику, взаимодействие с соседними государствами и сложные дипломатические усилия, направленные на обеспечение устойчивости и процветания Караханидов. Заключение. После всестороннего рассмотрения этих позиций приходим к выводу, что каждый участник внешнеполитического процесса играл ключевую роль, совместно внося свой вклад в формирование внешнеполитической позиции династии.

Ключевые слова: Караханиды, внешняя политика, должностные лица, дипломатия, посол, хаджиб, бирук

Для цитирования: Алмагамбетова А., Уста А. Политико-админстративная система Казахского ханства: крылья, жузы, племена и шежире // Электронный научный журнал «edu.e-history.kz». 2024. Т. 11. № 3. С. 558–571. (На Англ.). DOI: 10.51943/2710-3994_2024_11_3_558-571

Introduction

The Qarakhanid realm, spanning the XI to XIII centuries across Central Asia, emerged at the crossroads of diverse cultural and religious currents. Islamic, Turkic, Arab, and Persian civilizations contributed to shaping the Qarakhanids' religious and cultural identity. Simultaneously, the state's proximity to the Chinese Empire had an impact on its economic and cultural dimensions.

The cultural and religious attributes held substantial sway over the customs and practices of the Qarakhanids, extending across the spectrum of their state operations, including foreign policy. Their foreign policy traditions amalgamated Islamic and Turkic influences, exemplified through concepts like jihad and the propagation of Islam, integral to both warfare and diplomatic dealings. Moreover, the Qarakhanids adhered to time-honored principles of honor, hospitality, and deference to elders, elements that bore significant weight in their interactions with other nations.

The Qarakhanid rulers cultivated strong connections with neighboring nations, encompassing nearby Turkic territories, Persian lineages, and the expansive Chinese Empire. Their foreign policy endeavors revolved around forging amicable affiliations, fostering trade relationships, and fostering cultural bonds with neighboring entities. Additionally, their profound reverence for Islamic scholarship led them to seek affiliations with prominent Islamic centers like Baghdad and Mecca.

The existence and hierarchical arrangement of roles within foreign policy operations signify a particular degree of advancement in the organizational framework of a state. This holds significance in exploring the historical trajectory of the state. Therefore, in examining the foreign policy of the Qarakhanid realm, an attempt will be made to portray the functioning of the governmental machinery entrusted with managing international interactions, along with its impact on domestic policies whenever feasible.

Was this phenomenon prevalent in the medieval Turkic realm? How did this dynamic influence the state's reputation? What was the role of individuals within the state's administrative apparatus?

The hypothesis proposes that the distinct functions and attributes of officials within the Qarakhanid state reflect a sophisticated and well-organized system in the state apparatus. These officials, with their specific roles and responsibilities, played a pivotal role in orchestrating a structured and strategic approach to foreign policy. The careful delineation of tasks, such as ambassadors' roles in conveying messages and negotiating treaties, the protocol for receiving foreign emissaries, and the hierarchical positions within the administrative framework, indicate a deliberate and systematic approach to international relations. This suggests that foreign policy in the Qarakhanid state was not haphazard, but rather formulated through meticulous planning and strategic execution, guided by the expertise and coordination of officials in various capacities.

Within this study, our objective is to examine the significance and positioning of officials at the Qarakhanid court in shaping the nation's foreign policy. To achieve this, the initial step involves identifying the role and position of foreign policy activities and those engaged in them within the state's administrative framework, followed by an analysis of their contributions to the state's historical trajectory.

The history of the Qarakhanid state has attracted the attention of numerous scholars over time, encompassing subjects such as the state's evolution, its administrative structure, interactions with China and various Turkic nations, and more. However, a dedicated exploration of foreign policy as a distinct aspect within the state's history, delving into the strategies and endeavors of its key players, remains relatively unexplored. Due to incomplete, fragmented, and scarce sources from within the state, there exists a void in understanding its internal dynamics. Consequently, conducting a comprehensive examination and reconstruction of the roles and actions of those responsible for foreign policy could fill this gap, shedding light on the root causes and outcomes and providing insights into the inner workings of the state.

Materials and research methods

The study draws from the writings of medieval scholars, categorized based on their thematic content. Interestingly, the initial category comprises texts not solely focused on historical accounts, but also on language and philosophy. Notable among these are Mahmud Kashgari's "Diwan lugat at-Turk" and Yusuf Balasaguni's "Qutadgu bilig". While Kashgari's work presents positions and their meanings, Balasaguni's writing delves into their roles and the essential attributes requisite for each role. For broader historical insights and a comprehensive understanding of distinct Turkic communities, the invaluable contributions of Ibn al-Athīr, Bayhaki, Juzjani, Mirkhond, and Nizam al-Mulk's works are indispensable sources.

In investigating this topic, a range of research techniques were employed, including narrative analysis, retrospective examination, historical-genetic exploration, historical-systematic investigation, and comparative study.

Discussion

Research on the Qarakhanids gained momentum in the latter half of the XX century. Notable contributions include R. Genç's "The structure of the Qarakhanid state" and O. Karaev's "History of the Qarakhanid Khaganate". These works are primary references for understanding the Qarakhanid history. R. Genç's study delves into the roles and unique aspects of state administration, ministries, palace staff, and military divisions. This work provides valuable insights into the positions that I explore in my research. Karaev's book, on the other hand, offers a concise overview of the positions within the Qarakhanid state but lacks details regarding their distinct characteristics.

Among the contemporary studies on the Qarakhanids, the works of O.S. Hunkan, M. Biran and D. Duturaeva stand out. While Hunkan delves into the intricate history of the Qarakhanids through narrative exploration and comparative analysis, Biran and Duturaeva focus on Qarakhanid-Chinese relations, relying more on Chinese sources. These works provide an overview of positions, albeit in a broad context.

These studies examine the overall landscape within the Qarakhanid state and do not specifically delve into the foreign policy endeavors of the state apparatus. This lack of focus prevents drawing any definitive conclusions regarding its historical significance within the state's history.

As is commonly recognized, the primary resource for investigating the topic of the administrative structure and hierarchy within the Qarakhanid state is the writing of Yusuf Balasaguni. Balasaguni, being a native of the Qarakhanid realm, possessed an intimate understanding of the state apparatus, encompassing not only its formal hierarchy and positions, but also the ethical and individual attributes of its functionaries. This level of insight was accessible only to an individual who held direct access to the highest echelons of governance. His role as "khas hajīb" provided him insight into the intricacies of this domain. Hence, when delving into the analysis of positions, customs, and protocols in the foreign policy of the Qarakhanid state, the work of Balasaguni emerges as of paramount significance.

The work of Balasaguni lists the following positions, duties of which to a certain extent are in contact with the foreign policy activities of the state: khagan, yugrush (vizier), great hajīb (khas hajīb, ulug hajīb), hajīb, biruk (byiruk, buyruk), etc. The holder of each of these titles, one way or another, played a certain role in dealing with relations with other countries, in organizing ceremonies and observing traditions during receptions, embassy visits or meetings. Judging by the presence of positions cited by Balasaguni in his work, there was an exact hierarchy in the state apparatus and a specific job description for each position. This is also evidenced by the historical past of the state, associated with the predecessors in the person of the Turkic Khaganates, where all the above positions and their functions existed.

Results

Khagan. In foreign policy in the Middle Ages, the ruler played a decisive role in representing his country and making decisions in the international arena. As head of state, he had supreme authority and responsibility for diplomatic relations with other countries.

Foreign affairs held a significant position in the undertakings of the Qarakhanid leader. Diplomatic interactions and negotiations provided the means for the ruler to engage with other nations, forging alliances, addressing disputes, and fostering trade connections. The ruler's capacity to maneuver through the intricacies of global interactions and make astute choices was crucially significant for the prosperity and steadiness of the Qarakhanid realm. The outcomes of his actions and policies extended extensively, influencing the nation's diplomatic standing and connections with other states.

It is widely recognized that within Turkic society, the legitimacy of the ruler's authority was frequently linked to the notion of "qut". Following Turkic custom, "qut" symbolized a divine favor

bestowed by God, granting the ruler the privilege to govern (Genç, 1981: 66). This divine validation enhanced the ruler's status in both domestic and international matters, reinforcing his role as the state's representative. This notion had deeply ancient origins; even in the Hun state, "qut" was an essential characteristic of a leader with charisma (Kafesoğlu, 1977: 250).

However, this notion is evolving, much like other components of pre-Islamic traditions. In the era preceding Islam, the concept of "qut" signified a blessing directly bestowed upon the ruler from the Creator. In the Islamic period, this right is attributed to the leader by the deputy of Prophet Allah. Essentially, the khagan now attains legitimacy through the caliph, serving as an intermediary between the Creator and the people. This is why the title "Mawlya Amir al-Muminin" is conferred upon the khagan, emphasizing his role as the "servant of the ruler of the believers" (Hunkan, 2008: 31). This implies that the khagan's authority as the possessor of the "qut" diminished in the eyes of the people, and particularly in the eyes of the aristocracy. There is abundant information concerning conflicts between the Qarakhanid rulers and the religious aristocracy (ulama, theologian scholars), which could have contributed to political discord.

The designation "Mawlya Amir al-Muminin" was similarly conferred upon various Muslim rulers, including the Saljuqs and Ghaznavids. Specifically, the Saljuqs, alongside this title, utilized additional epithets that complemented Amir al-Muminin, such as Yeminu Amir al-Muminin for Toghrul Bey, "Burkhanu Amir al-Muminin" for Alp Arslan and Sanjar, and Kasimu Amir al-"Muminin" for Malikshah, signifying "comrade-in-arms" (Islam Ansiklopedisi, 1995: 156–157). Based on numismatic evidence, it appears that the Qarakhanids had similar designations as well. For instance, Kochnev, in his description of Qarakhanid coins, references the title "Nasir Amir ul-Muminin" (protector, assistant) held by Khagan Sulaiman bin Yusuf bin Harun. This same title was also conferred upon his son Ayub bin Sulaiman, likely inherited from his father. Additionally, Sulaiman's brother Muhammad/Jabrail bin Yusuf bin Harun, as well as another son Yusuf bin Sulaiman bin Yusuf, were bestowed with the appellation "Safi Amir ul-Muminin" (the chosen one) (Kochnev, 144).

As per Balasaguni, a ruler bestowed with the divine "qut" remained accountable to the overarching "tore" law. As long as he adhered to the law and possessed all the requisite qualities of a ruler, the divine "qut" would continue to bestow its favor upon him. This underscores the importance of individual attributes and charm, as the absence of these qualities could result in the departure of the bestowed "qut" (Balasaguni, 1983: 78). Hence, it was crucial for the ruler to possess all the attributes that would contribute to a favorable perception of the state by other nations. A notable instance would be instances where the ruler's character played a pivotal role in the resolution of disputes with neighboring countries. An exemplar of this is the encounter between Yusuf Kadyr Khan and the Sultan Mahmud Sebuktegin of the Ghaznavid dynasty in 1025. According to accounts from Seljuk and Ghaznavid records, Yusuf Kadyr Khan sought an audience with the Ghaznavid sultan due to conflicts with Ali Tegin and appealed for assistance in addressing the matter. In his narrative of this incident. Mirkhond refers to the Qarakhanid ruler as the most preeminent in authority and potency among the sultans of the Turkic realms. (Mirkhond, 2018: 30). The outcome of the Samarkand accord, which established the Amu Darya River as the demarcation line between the Turkic and Persian domains, stems from this assembly. Furthermore, as documented by Juzjani, it was at this very meeting that Yusuf Kadyr Khan's plea led to the Saljugs being redirected to Khorasan as per his request (Juzjani, 2020: 35). Likewise, various matters were addressed and settled during the course of this accord. This gathering served as proof of the advanced diplomatic ties between the Qarakhanids and the Ghaznavids, where discussions, demands, and compromises led to the resolution of strategically significant concerns for both nations. In this context, Yusuf Kadyr Khan's portraval as a leader among his people might appear diminished in comparison to the Ghaznavid ruler. However, his capacity to negotiate on strategic matters and safeguard the welfare of his state serve as indicators of his competence. The principal factor contributing to the decline of the Qarakhanids is attributed to the system of appanage governance, resulting in fragmentation.

In contrast to Yusuf Kadyr Khan, another Qarakhanid Khagan, Ahmed bin Khyzyr, struggled to earn the trust of the populace and achieve success as a ruler. Ibn al-Athir's portrayal of him is that

of a despotic figure who engaged in acts of extortion, frequently confiscating the possessions of others (Ibn al-Athir, 1987: 8v. 457). Under Ahmed bin Khyzyr's rule, the populace became divided and sought external assistance. This implies that the state experienced a decline in strength and was unable to guarantee the safety of its people and territories. The eventual consequence of such leadership was the involvement of the Saljuqs and the establishment of Qarakhanid vassalage, serving as an inevitable outcome.

Another crucial element regarding the khagan in foreign policy is the system of appanage governance. Under this system, every ruler possessed a designated territory where they operated on behalf of the chief khagan of the entire state. Simultaneously, they exercised independent decision-making authority, without external oversight, in matters pertaining to the domestic and foreign policies of their domain (Kochnev, 2006: 263). This situation significantly complicated the Qarakhanids' relations with other states and frequently led to conflicts both within and beyond the state borders.

Yugrush (vizier). In the hierarchy of the Qarakhanid state, the vizier held a position of paramount significance, ranking just below the ruler. This fact is corroborated by Balasaguni, who illustrates this by narrating the elevation of Aytoldy, a subject of the ruler of Kyuntogdy, to the esteemed post of vizier in recognition of his service. The phrase "Above all, he granted him immense authority" can signify a boundless power that extends beyond the one conferring such privileges (Balasaguni, 1983: 105). As the primary minister and counselor, the vizier possessed extensive powers and obligations, supervising diverse dimensions of governance, encompassing matters like foreign relations. Enjoying the ruler's trust, the vizier wielded command over a range of concerns, encompassing diplomatic affairs. The position of the vizier played a pivotal role in shaping and executing foreign policy, overseeing interactions with external nations, and serving as the representative of the Qarakhanid state in global matters. Their expertise and sway guaranteed the proficient administration of international affairs, ensuring they corresponded with the strategic objectives and preferences of the Qarakhanid administration.

In Kutadgu Bilig, the vizier is metaphorically portrayed as a symbol of happiness, whereas the ruler embodies justice (Barthold, 1963: 127). This implies that the vizier holds the privilege of ensuring happiness within the state.

Yugrush, a Turkic term, was substituted with an Arabic counterpart – vizier – as evidenced by Balasaguni's usage (Karaev, 1983: 247). Evidently, this position and its duties predated the Samanid legacy, as there was a form of vesting authority in the vizier, indicating the conferment of power. The well-established practice of bestowing authority highlights the existence of a historical context that necessitates the passage of a certain period of time. The investiture of the vizier (as seen with Aytoldy) encompassed a seal, standard flag, drum, and chain mail (Balasaguni, 1983: 105). Conversely, when another vizier (Aytoldy's son Ogdyulmish) assumed office, he was bestowed a robe, goblet, seal, and horse (Balasaguni, 1983: 154). The rationale behind the contrasting symbols of the vizier's authority in these instances remains enigmatic. In the first scenario, the collection of items for the vizier evokes a warrior's ensemble, symbolizing his allegiance to his tribe (nation) and readiness to defend it. While the standard flag signifies martial honor, the drum serves as an instrument for signaling warfare and marching, and the chain mail embodies a warrior's safeguard. In the second instance, the robe, goblet, and horse illustrate the renown and affluence that accompany the vizier's authority. This transition in the symbolism of power exhibits noticeable alterations. It's as if the nomadic warrior cedes his place to the palace owner, characterized by opulence and ease. This transformation can solely be attributed to the growing interaction with the settled world and the conquest of Mawarannahr, with its advanced urbanized lifestyle, which imparts changes to the mindset of the nomad. A mindset in which dynamism and martial spirit exist alongside steadiness and well-being.

As per Kashgari's account, the vizier was required to possess a black silk canopy, serving as protection against rain, snow, and heat (Kashgari, 2005: 772). This implies that the vizier held distinct privileges and enjoyed a unique status.

The vizier, serving as the leader of the bureaucratic administration, held authority not only in the central part, but also in the provincial areas of the state. Although the sources may not explicitly

mention this, the presence of judicial, tax, and executive systems implies the existence of an entity overseeing their operations (Genç, 1981: 276).

In the historical accounts, the Qarakhanid viziers are mentioned by al-Samani. He recounts the execution of vizier Abu Nasr Ahmad ibn Sulaiman al-Kasani, who served under khagan Ahmad bin Khyzyr. Prior to assuming the vizier position, he held the role of chief judge in Samarkand (al-Samani, vol. 10, 320). Another vizier, under Tamgach Khan Ibrahim, as documented by al-Samani, was Abu 'Abd Allah Muhammad ibn Ahmad al-Baraki, known by the epithet Sharaf ar-Ru'asa'. He hailed from Khorezm and was a philologist and poet, having previously served as a judge in Bukhara (al-Samani, vol. 2, 162–163). This suggests that the position of vizier was open to individuals from both religious and scholarly backgrounds.

In contrast to other Turkic realms, the role of the vizier within the Seljuk state held the utmost authority after the sultan, and the state's prosperity was directly linked to the vizier's undertakings (Nizam al-Mulk, 1949: 25). Nizam al-Mulk, serving as a vizier, established a notably robust state structure and wielded influence not just within his own domain, but across the entire region. A comparable framework was prevalent in nearly all Turkic Muslim nations until the era of the Ottoman Empire, including the Middle East in the Mughal realm. Notably, it was only during the rule of Sultan Baibars in Egypt that distinctions emerged with titles such as "katib us-sir", "naqib ul-juyush", and "dawadar" (Kafesoğlu, 1977: 369).

Great hajīb (also known as khas hajīb or ulug hajīb) and hajīb. The array of responsibilities of the hajīb encompassed acting as an intermediary between the populace and the ruler, as well as between their nation and external entities. To elaborate, the great hajīb served as a link in orchestrating interactions among diverse parties and in resolving prevalent issues. Beyond personal attributes and professional qualifications, the role of a great hajīb also necessitated a propensity for collaboration and adept communication skills. In contemporary scholarship, sociability pertains to the cultivation of emotional intelligence. An individual's adeptness in both social and professional engagements hinges on their emotional intelligence level. Ultimately, sociability underscores an individual's capacity to effectively engage and leave a positive impact on an interlocutor. Consequently, the significance of the great hajīb's role in fostering and nurturing positive relations between the ruler and the international community, particularly within the realm of foreign policy, remains highly crucial. Balasaguni conveys this sentiment with the following statement:

«With guests or envoys, tasks to arrange, Gifts, treats, and honors to exchange. Shelter he gives, food he shares, Helps the homeless, shows he cares. He tends to guests along the road's span, He abides by laws, an unyielding plan. ...

Challenges around, both out and in,

Barriers rise, taming darkness's din. (Balasaguni, 1983: 203).

Especially, the phrase "both out and in" signifies that external matters formed an essential component of the great hajīb's responsibilities. Welcoming envoys, arranging their residence and meals within the country, preparing fitting gifts, maintaining lawful neighborly relations, addressing matters of state security and defense against external threats – these tasks are gleaned from Balasaguni's verses. From this, we perceive the extensive domain under Khas Hajīb's control and the formidable authority granted to him. However, he could undoubtedly wield this authority for a singular purpose – to serve as a link connecting the ruler and the external sphere. This external sphere encompasses all beyond the ruler's palace walls.

Another aspect of this role is its divergence from military attire and expertise. Among the attributes of a great hajīb outlined by Balasaguni, there is an absence of skills necessitating physical and martial training (Genç, 1981: 208). Despite the Qarakhanids' nomadic background, military training was a paramount trait for steppe dwellers. Distinctions existed between the roles of the hajīb in the Saljuqs, Ghaznavids, and the Qarakhanids. This is discernible from the presence of the Turkic

variant of the position's title – "tayangu", as seen in Kashgari's dictionary within the Qarakhanid context. In contrast, among the Saljuqs and Ghaznavids, it was known as "hajīb" and carried a martial implication. In comparison to other Turkic dynasties, the Qarakhanids maintained a stronger adherence to Turkic traditions than the Saljuqs and Ghaznavids. As previously stated, the role of khas hajīb was unrelated to the Qarakhanid state's military elite. Hence, it is likely that the khas hajībs originated from an intellectual background (Genç, 1981: 208).

Balasaguni himself was granted the position of khas hajīb, and upon completing his work, he presented it to the ruler of Kashgar. During that period, Yusuf Balasaguni held the position of great hajīb. Another illustration highlighting the practice of selecting the Khas Hajīb from an aristocratic lineage is provided by R. Genç, citing Awfi. According to his account, during the reign of Shams al-Mulk, there was a hajīb who possessed both a palace and attendants (Genç, 1981: 205).

The extensive responsibilities of the great hajīb necessitated the presence of aides who would assist him in executing these tasks. Balasaguni reveals that the khas hajīb assumed the role of head over the hajībs, implying that he needed to embody leadership traits (Balasaguni, 1983: 198). When it comes to the attributes and responsibilities of the hajīb, they mirror those of the khas hajīb, encompassing personal and professional aspects. Physical, mental, and spiritual qualities should ideally align with standards of elegance and intellect.

"Graceful allure, love from all he'll find,

Entering, leaving, with poise designed.

•••

Devotion deep, a heart of grace,

Outer virtue mirrors inner space.

•••

To possess grace and intellect, a presence refined,

Sensible and astute, pleasing purpose defined" (Balasaguni, 1983: 202).

Clearly, adhering to the nomadic steppe code, the state's representation required individuals of the highest caliber both in reality and symbolism.

Biruk (byiruk, buyruk). Biruk served as one of the aides to the great hajīb. Reports suggest his subordination to the great hajīb, but his role was distinctive. His primary responsibility was arranging the seating of attendees at meetings or events based on their hierarchical positions at the royal court (Kashgari, 2005: 358). This position is absent from Balasaguni's enumeration. It appears he regarded it as one of the myriad tasks carried out by subjects who executed the hajībs' directives. Nonetheless, we deem its significance profound for the state, as the obligations tied to this role profoundly impacted the formation of foreign policy. The practice of seating by rank held paramount importance, particularly in dealings with foreign envoys, as it symbolized the depth of diplomatic ties between nations. The positioning and treatment of diplomats during official gatherings conveyed the trajectory and advancement of relations between the Qarakhanids and other states. These protocols and customs functioned as unspoken communication, conveying respect, homage, and acknowledgment of one another's status within the diplomatic arena.

Kapujubashi (head of the palace, kapugbashi), secretary, treasurer, head chef, cup-bearer, castellan, standard-bearer, and squire are the titles of roles presented by Balasaguni in his writing. Their responsibilities primarily revolve around palace matters, centered on arranging and catering to the requirements of its residents.

Yalavaj or yalavar (ambassador). Following palace management, the role of ambassador holds a prominent spot in foreign policy. Balasaguni's arrangement positions the ambassador right after the palace manager, underscoring the significance of their responsibilities. According to Kashgari, "yalavaj" and "yalavar" refer to "messenger of kings". For this position, he cites the proverb "yash 'ut kuimas, yalavar "ulmas" (Fresh grass does not burn, the messenger does not die) as an example (Kashgari, 2005: 777).

The proverb signifies that an ambassador's role is solely message conveyance, and their immunity holds primary importance. Kashgari references verse 99 of the Quran's "Maida" chapter, which asserts the messenger's duty is solely message delivery. From both religious and traditional

perspectives, it can be inferred that ambassadorial immunity has perpetually held paramount significance. Its breach, whether inadvertent or intentional, could escalate state conflicts. The ambassadorial position itself was regarded as sacred as a prophet's mission.

It's important to note that during that era, there wasn't a consistent ambassadorial role; appointments were made for specific missions and durations. However, the selection of ambassadors was a task approached with immense responsibility and precision. Balasaguni's work illustrates the attributes requisite for a capable ambassador. Even though "Qutadgu Bilig" portrays an ideal state and its exemplary citizens, it offers insights into the mindset and cultural/spiritual traits of the period. Analyzing these aspects allows for an understanding of the nature and objectives of the state's foreign policy endeavors.

Highlighted ambassadorial qualities include intellect and erudition, moral integrity, competence and ingenuity, perceptiveness and sagacity, eloquence and composure, charm and appeal, as well as generosity (Balasaguni, 1983: 210–214). Furthermore, proficiency in backgammon and chess, adeptness in arithmetic, archery, swordsmanship, and familiarity with astrology and its application were considered essential attributes (Balasaguni, 1983: 212).

Ambassadors were designated for various purposes and drawn from diverse backgrounds. According to Hunkan's account, ambassadors were selected from three primary segments. The initial category encompassed representatives of the ruling dynasty, princes and individuals affiliated with the khagan's family. The subsequent group primarily consisted of officials and individuals in elevated roles, including judges, theologians, viziers, hajībs, clerks, and other bureaucratic members. The third category was primarily constituted by merchants and traders who traversed distant regions (Hunkan, 2016: 110).

Each member of a delegation undoubtedly played a distinct role in accomplishing the mission. When a representative of the ruling dynasty assigned significance to the delegation, bureaucrats assumed the primary responsibilities for mission execution, and merchants specialized as experts in the terrain, traditions, and customs, essentially serving as guides to foreign lands. An illustration of such delegations can be observed in the embassies of 1035 and 1036. One of these comprised Musa Tegin and a Samarqand faqih theologian, while the second featured Alp Tegin and Bukhara khatyb (theologian) Abdullah Farisi (Bayhaki, 1969: 606, Hunkan, 2016: 111). These delegations were dispatched by the Qarakhanids to the Ghaznavid realm, seeking pardon following Arslan Ilig Yusuf's attack on Ghaznavid territories. This heedless action by the young ruler jeopardized the state's security, prompting concerns of possible retributive actions from the Ghaznavids (Bayhaki, 1969: 607).

The Qarakhanids organized embassy groups with a comparable structure for their interactions with China, typically led by Uyghur leaders due to their linguistic proficiency (Duturaeva, 2022: 66). Instances of this include the years 1009, 1063, and 1077. During their diplomatic engagements with Song China, the Qarakhanids dispatched envoys led by individuals whose names contained the title sagun, signifying "leader" among the Karluks (Kashgari, 2005: 379, Duturaeva, 2022: 66). These envoy groups varied in size and composition. For instance, the 1078 delegation, according to Chinese records, consisted of no more than fifty individuals, with only the main ambassadors' names recorded. In contrast, the embassy group of 1025 consisted solely of the ambassador, deputy ambassador, assistant and military commander (Duturaeva, 2022: 67).

Embassies dispatched to China and various other nations served diverse objectives, yet their primary focus was often on addressing economic concerns. This included facilitating trade, safeguarding merchants within the host country's borders, permitting the export of Chinese goods, and more (Biran, 2013: 232, Biran, 2015: 581, Duturaeva, 2022: 78). There were also instances where embassy groups agreed to provide support in conflicts against a third party. For instance, the Qarakhanid delegation of 1009 sought Emperor Shenzong's assistance in quelling Buddhist unrest in the recently annexed region of Khotan (Duturaeva, 2022: 78).

The reception and dispatch of envoys constituted a significant aspect of the state's foreign policy endeavors. When preparing envoys for travel to another nation, a letter containing instructions and the intended message was always crafted. This letter underwent approval by the ruler before being

dispatched on its journey (Bayhaki, 1969: 291). A comprehensive list of gifts was assembled for the recipient ruler and their retinue. In cases involving envoy groups formed for the purpose of arranging marriage alliances, the gifts extended beyond ornamental jewelry and exquisite clothing, sometimes encompassing slaves and animals as well. Accompanying guards were always present to escort the delegation up to the borders of their own state, and upon arrival, they were met by guards from the host country (Hunkan, 2016: 116). The host party received details about the envoy group, including the number of members, their purpose, and the gifts they carried. This information was then relayed to the palace. The embassy delegation took up residence and awaited the palace's response. The duration of this waiting period could span from days to even months, depending on the importance of the mission and the composition of the delegation (Hunkan, 2016: 117). Typically, the message conveyed was answered through a decree issued by the ruler, and these responses were documented in the official historical records of the state (Duturaeva, 2022: 77). These chronicles provide valuable insights into the Qarakhanid state.

Detailed insights into the reception ceremonies for ambassadors within the Qarakhanid state are lacking; however, we can draw assumptions based on information gleaned from Ghaznavid and Saljuq sources. Turkic traditions exhibited similarities across different entities. The reception of ambassadors varied according to the nature of the relationship and the prevailing political circumstances. When relations were amicable, envoys were courteously received in the palace, and with the involvement of the hajībs, matters were swiftly addressed. Ambassadors had the opportunity to engage with various officials to gather information. Conversely, during strained relations, ambassadors could be subjected to waiting periods or even punitive measures (Hunkan, 2022: 117–118). During the reception, ambassadors typically bowed to the ruler, verbally conveyed their message, and then submitted it in written form.

In his work "Diwan lugat at-Turk", Kashgari defines the term "bushug" as the Sultan's authorization for the envoy's return to his homeland along with the reward he receives (Kashgari, 2005: 353). The concept of "bushug" encompassed the essential practice of granting permission for envoys to journey back to their own lands after delivering a message from another country, city, or settlement. This protocol served to ensure rigorous internal control and project an image of orderliness to foreign entities. However, the sources do not explicitly mention such permission. It is more likely that the letter, the agreement endorsed by both parties, and the gifts presented to the ambassador implicitly conveyed the authorization for their return to their home country.

The language employed by ambassadors seemed to exhibit variations from one nation to another. For instance, when ambassadors were dispatched to China, they carried with them a letter that would be subsequently translated into Chinese by interpreters, either within China or en route. It means that a court was run in a Turkic language; letters were written in mother tongue of the rulers and later were translated (Mir-Makhamad and etc., 2021: 197). In her article discussing the Qarakhanid envoys to Song China, Duturaeva notes that the translation of these letters was undertaken by translators associated with Tsongkha, the Tibetan state of Gusiluo, due to their active involvement in Qarakhanid – Song relations (Duturaeva, 2018: 188). As a result, the appeals addressed to the Chinese emperor in these letters were presented in the Tibetan style.

Within the realm of Turkic states, the languages of Turkic, Persian, and Arabic held prominence as international means of communication. Bayhaki, in his writings, underscores on multiple occasions that diplomatic exchanges were conducted in Persian and Arabic. A case in point is the practice of the Ghaznavid sultan sending letters to the caliph in Arabic, consistently supplementing them with a version in Persian (Bayhaki, 1969: 387).

The role of an ambassador stands as one of the utmost revered and esteemed positions within the realm of diplomacy, transcending religious, geographical, and national confines. Irrespective of the origin and cultural context, an ambassador carries a profound duty to advocate for their nation's concerns on the global stage. This role necessitates an elevated level of proficiency, diplomatic adaptability, cultural acumen, and effective communication capabilities. The ambassador holds the potential to emerge as a pivotal individual in resolving cross-border issues and fostering diplomatic ties among nations. Beyond merely conveying official stances of their homeland, the ambassador is tasked with cultivating trustworthy connections with counterparts from foreign nations. Serving as a conduit between diverse cultures and sets of values, the ambassador contributes to fostering unity and comprehension among nations.

Hence, the role of an ambassador has consistently held extraordinary importance, being regarded as sacred irrespective of considerations such as religion, location, or nationality. Functioning as a crucial diplomatic emissary for their nation, the ambassador possesses the capability to significantly contribute to enhancing cross-border relations and addressing global challenges.

Each of the high positions in the Qarakhanid state played its significant role in the formation and implementation of the country's foreign policy. Foreign policy actions were intricately intertwined with the ruler's role and significance. Serving as the ultimate authority, the ruler delineated overarching foreign policy directions, set objectives, and exercised pivotal judgments on critical matters of international affairs. His stature and sway determined the nature of interactions between the Qarakhanid realm and other countries. The vizier, who occupied a seat next to the ruler, was a key figure with power and responsibility for a number of aspects of government, including participation in diplomatic affairs. His advice and recommendations influenced decision-making in the field of foreign relations.

The vizier, khas hajīb, hajīb, biruk, kapugbashi, yalavar comprised an essential component of the state's foreign policy apparatus. Each position had a distinct function, unique in its significance and not duplicated by others.

Conclusion

Upon scrutinizing the roles and responsibilities of these positions, it becomes evident that the governmental framework of the Qarakhanids exhibited notable intricacy and advancement considering the medieval era. The administrative structure encompassed not merely an individual but also a harmonious interplay among pivotal roles, thereby facilitating proficient management of both domestic affairs and foreign policies.

As a participant in the global community, the Qarakhanids held a distinct position, particularly among the Turkic states of that era. Amid the perpetual competition for resources and territorial gains, they endeavored to fortify their standing on the international stage. Being a Turkic state, the Qarakhanids aimed not only for survival amidst challenges but also for cultivating constructive ties with other nations, underscoring their dynamic engagement in the contemporary international landscape.

The findings of this research have applicability in examining the Middle Ages' history, international relations, and diplomacy. Specifically, delving into interstate dynamics from the 10th to the XII centuries could address historical gaps concerning Central Asian nations. Moreover, investigating cultural, social, and economic interactions of this era warrants additional scholarly exploration.

References

Al-Sam'ani Abu Sad, 1981 — *Al-Sam'ani Abu Sad* Kitab al Ansab. Maktaba ibn Taymiyya. Al-Kahira, 1981. Vol. 2. 399 p. (In Arab.).

Al-Sam'ani Abu Sad, 1981 — Al-Sam'ani Abu Sad Kitab al Ansab. Maktaba ibn Taymiyya. Al-Kahira, 1981. Vol. 10. 550 p. (In Arab.).

Balasaguni, 1983 — *Balasaguni Y.* Blagodatnoe znanie [Gracious knowledge]. Transl. by S.N. Ivanov. Moscow: Nauka, 1983. 560 p. (In Russ.).

Barthold, 1963 — *Barthold V.V.* Sochineniya [Essays]. Moscow: Izdatelstvo nauchnoy literatury, 1963. Vol. 2. 1025 p. (In Russ.).

Bayhaki, 1969 — *Bayhaki A*. Istoriya Masuda [The history of Masood]. Transl. by A.K. Arends. Moscow: Nauka, 1969. 1009 p. (In Russ.).

Biran, 2013 — *Biran M*. Unearthing the Liao Dynasty's Relations with the Muslim World: Migrations, Diplomacy, Commerce, and Mutual Perceptions. Journal of Song-Yuan Studies, 2013. Vol. 43. Pp. 221–251. (In Eng.).

Biran, 2015 — Biran M. The Qarakhanids' eastern exchange: Preliminary notes on the silk roads in the eleventh and twelfth centuries. The Complexity of Interaction along the Eurasian Steppe Zone in the first Millennium CE. Bonn,

BCAA7, 2015. Pp. 575-95. (In Eng.).

Duturaeva, 2018 — *Duturaeva D.* Qarakhanid Envoys to Song China. Harrassowitz Verlag. Journal of Asian History, 2018. Vol. 52, No. 2. Pp. 179–208. (In Eng.).

Duturaeva, 2022 — Duturaeva D. Qarakhanid roads to China. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 2022. 300 p. (In Eng.).

Genç, 1981 — *Genç R*. Karahanlı devlet teşkilâti [The structure of the Qarakhanid state]. Istanbul: Kültür bakanlığı yayınları, 1981. 373 p. (In Turk.).

Hunkan, 2008 — Hunkan Ö.S. Hilafetin taninmasinda "hakan" in statüsü: değişim ve etkiler [The status of the "hakan" in the recognition of the caliph: change and effects]. Trakya Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 2008. Cilt 10 Sayı 2. Pp. 26–33. (In Turk.).

Hunkan, 2016 — Hunkan Ö.S. Yûsuf Hâs Hâcib ve Qutadgu Bilig'de Elçilik Mansıbı [Yussuf Has Hajeeb and the post of ambassador in Qutadgu Bilig]. Modern Türklük Araştırmaları Dergisi, 2016. C. 13. Pp. 102–122. (In Turk.).

Ibn al-Athir, 1987 — A. Ibn al-Athir A. Al-Kamil fit-tarikh [The complete history]. VIII v. Beirut: Dar al'-Qutub Ilmiyya, 1987. 532 p. (In Arab.).

Islam Ansiklopedisi, 1995 — Islam Ansiklopedisi Emîrü'l-mü'minîn [Amir al-Muminin]. XI c. Istanbul. Türkiye Diyanet Vakfi, 1995. Pp. 156–157. (In Turk.).

Juzjani, 2020 — Juzjani M. Tabakat-1 Nasiri [Nasir's history]. Tercüme ve notlar E.Göksu. Ankara: TTK, 2020. 195 p. (In Turk.).

Kafesoğlu, 1977 — Kafesoğlu İ. Türk millî kültürü [The Turkic national culture]. Istanbul: Ötüken, 1977. 260 p. (In Turk.).

Karaev, 1983 — *Karaev O.* Istoriya Qarakhanidskogo kaganata (X – nachalo XIII vv.) [The history of the Qarakhanid khaganate (X – early XIII centuries)]. Bishkek: Ilim, 1983. P. 300. (In Russ.).

Kashgari, 2005 — Kashgari M. Diwan Lugat at-Turk [Compendium of the Turkic languages]. Transl. by Z.-A. M. Auezova. Almaty: Dayk-Press, 2005. 1288 p. (In Russ.).

Kochnev, 2006 — *Kochnev B*. Numizmaticheskaya istoriya Qarakhanidskogo kaganata (991–1209 gg.) [Numismatic history of the Qarakhanid Kaganate (991–1209)]. part 1. Moscow: Sofiya, 2006. 344 p. (In Russ.).

Mirkhond, 2018 — *Mirkhond M.* Ravzatu's – safa fi sireti'l enbiya ve'l-Müluk ve'l-Hulefa [The garden of purity]. Tercüme ve notlar E.Göksu. Ankara: TTK, 2018. 325 p. (In Turk.).

Mir-Makhamad, et al., 2021 — *Mir-Makhamad B., Mirzaakhmedov S., Rahmonov H., Stark S., Omel'chenko A., Spengler R.N.* Qarakhanids on the Edge of the Bukhara Oasis: Archaeobotany of Medieval Paykend. Economic Botany, 2021. Vol. 75. Pp. 195–214. (In Eng.).

Nizam al'-Mul'k, 1949 — *Nizam al'-Mul'k*. Siyasetname [The book of Politics]. Transl. by B.N. Zakhoder. Moscow: AS of the USSR, 1949. 380 p. (In Russ.).

Литература

Байхаки, 1969 — Байхаки А. История Масуда. Перевод А.К. Арендс. Москва: Наука, 1969. 1009 с. Баласагуни, 1983 — Баласагуни Ю. Благодатное знание. Перевод С.Н. Иванов. Москва: Наука, 1983. 560 с. Бартольд, 1963 — Бартольд В.В. Сочинения. Москва: Издательство научной литературы, 1963. Т.2. 1025 с. Караев, 1983 — Караев О. История Караханидского каганата (Х – начало XIII вв.). Бишкек: Илим, 1983. 300 с. Кашгари, 2005 — Кашгари М. Диван Лугат ат-Турк. Перевод З.-А. Ауэзова. Алматы: Дайк-Пресс, 2005. 1288 с. Кочнев Кочнев Б., 2006. Нумизматическая история Караханидского каганата (991–1209 гг.). Часть 1. Москва: ООО София. 344 с.

Низам аль-Мульк., 1949. Сиясетнаме. Перевод Б.Н.Заходер. Москва: Издательство АН СССР. 380 с.

Al-Sam'ani Abu Sad, 1981 — Al-Sam'ani Abu Sad Kitab al Ansab. Maktaba ibn Taymiyya. Al-Kahira, 1981. Vol. 2. 399 p.

Al-Sam'ani Abu Sad, 1981 — Al-Sam'ani Abu Sad Kitab al Ansab. Maktaba ibn Taymiyya. Al-Kahira, 1981. Vol. 10. 550 p.

Biran, 2013 — *Biran M*. Unearthing the Liao Dynasty's Relations with the Muslim World: Migrations, Diplomacy, Commerce, and Mutual Perceptions. Journal of Song-Yuan Studies, 2013. Vol. 43. Pp. 221-251.

Biran, 2015 — *Biran M.* The Qarakhanids' eastern exchange: Preliminary notes on the silk roads in the eleventh and twelfth centuries. The Complexity of Interaction along the Eurasian Steppe Zone in the first Millennium CE. Bonn, BCAA7, 2015. Pp. 575–95.

Duturaeva, 2018 — *Duturaeva D*. Qarakhanid Envoys to Song China. Harrassowitz Verlag // Journal of Asian History, 2018. Vol. 52, No. 2. Pp. 179–208.

Duturaeva, 2022 — Duturaeva D. Qarakhanid roads to China. Leiden-Boston: Brill, 2022. 300 p.

Genç, 1981 — *Genç R*. Karahanlı devlet teşkilâti [The structure of the Qarakhanid state]. Istanbul: Kültür bakanlığı yayınları, 1981. 373 p.

Hunkan, 2008 — Hunkan Ö.S. Hilafetin taninmasinda "hakan"in statüsü: değişim ve etkiler [The status of the "hakan" in the recognition of the caliph: change and effects]. Trakya Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Dergisi, 2008. Cilt 10 Sayı 2. Pp. 26–33.

Hunkan, 2016 — Hunkan Ö.S. Yûsuf Hâs Hâcib ve Qutadgu Bilig'de Elçilik Mansıbı [Yussuf Has Hajeeb and the post of ambassador in Qutadgu Bilig]. Modern Türklük Araştırmaları Dergisi, 2016. C. 13. Pp. 102-122.

Ibn al-Athir, 1987 — A. Ibn al-Athir A. Al-Kamil fit-tarikh [The complete history]. VIII v. Beirut: Dar al'-Qutub Ilmiyya, 1987. 532 p.

Islam Ansiklopedisi, 1995 — Islam Ansiklopedisi Emîrü'l-mü'minîn [Amir al-Muminin]. XI c. Istanbul. Türkiye Diyanet Vakfi, 1995. Pp. 156–157.

Juzjani, 2020 — Juzjani M. Tabakat-1 Nasiri [Nasir's history]. Tercüme ve notlar E.Göksu. Ankara: TTK, 2020. 195 p.

Kafesoğlu, 1977 — *Kafesoğlu İ*. Türk millî kültürü [The Turkic national culture]. Istanbul: Ötüken, 1977. 260 p. Mirkhond, 2018 — *Mirkhond M*. Ravzatu's – safa fi sireti'l enbiya ve'l-Müluk ve'l-Hulefa [The garden of purity]. Tercüme ve notlar E.Göksu. Ankara: TTK, 2018. 325 p.

Mir-Makhamad, et al., 2021 — Mir-Makhamad B., Mirzaakhmedov S., Rahmonov H., Stark S., Omel'chenko A., Spengler R.N. Qarakhanids on the Edge of the Bukhara Oasis: Archaeobotany of Medieval Paykend. Economic Botany, 2021. Vol. 75. Pp. 195–214.

МАЗМҰНЫ

ТЕОРИЯ ЖӘНЕ ӘДІСНАМА

Абуов Н.А., Мәлікова С.З.
ӘЛЕУМЕТТІК ТРАГЕДИЯ КОНТЕКСТІНДЕ СОЛТҮСТІК ҚАЗАҚСТАНДАҒЫ
ҰЖЫМДАСТЫРУ ЖӘНЕ АШТЫҚ
Нұртазина Н.Д. Қартабаева Е.Т., Дауытбекова М.К.
ҚАЗАҚТЫҢ ИШАНДАРЫ МЕН ӘУЛИЕ ЕМШІЛЕРІНІҢ МҰРАСЫ: ОРТАЛЫҚ АЗИЯ
МҰСЫЛМАНДЫҚ-СОПЫЛЫҚ ДӘСТҮРІ АЯСЫНДА ЖАҢАША ЗЕРТТЕУ ПЕРСПЕКТИВАЛАРЫ541
ТАРИХ
Алмагамбетова А., Уста А.
ҚАРАХАНДАР САРАЙЫНДАҒЫ НЕГІЗГІ ЛАУАЗЫМДАР: СЫРТҚЫ САЯСАТТЫ ҚАЛЫПТАСТЫРУ558
Бейсембаева А.Р., Абенова Г.А., Мамытова С.Н.
XVIII ҒАСЫРДЫҢ 50-ШІ ЖЫЛДАРЫНДАҒЫ АМУРСАНА КӨТЕРІЛІСІ ЖӘНЕ ҚАЗАҚ ЖАСАҚТАРЫ:
МҰРАҒАТ МАТЕРИАЛДАРЫНЫҢ НЕГІЗІНДЕ
Жолдасұлы Т., Тайман С.Т., Құдайбергенова А.И. СОВЕТТІК ОРТА АЗИЯДАҒЫ БАСМАШЫЛАР ҚОЗҒАЛЫСЫНЫҢ ДІНИ СИПАТЫ (1918–1930)585
Жумағанбетов Т.С.
Жумағаноетов Т.С. ҚАЗАҚ ХАНДЫҒЫНЫҢ ПАЙДА БОЛУЫ МӘСЕЛЕСІНДЕГІ ШАҒАТАЙ ҰЛЫСЫНЫҢ
ТӨРТ ФАКТОРЫ (ҚАЗАҚ-ШАҒАТАЙ ҚАТЫНАСТАРЫ)
Исмаилзаде С.Д., Жеңіс Ж.Ж.
ИСМАНЛЗАДС С.Д., ЖЕҢС Ж.Ж. ХІ–ХІV ҒАСЫРЛАРДАҒЫ ОҢТҮСТІК КАВКАЗ ҚЫПШАҚТАРЫНЫҢ
ТАРИХЫНА АРНАЛҒАН ЗЕРТТЕУЛЕРГЕ ШОЛУ
Крупко И.В., Бұрханов Б.Б.
«АЗ И Я» – ИДЕОЛОГИЯЛЫҚ ТАРИХЫ: АЙЫПТАУДАН ТАЛҚЫЛАУҒА ДЕЙІН
Кушенова Г.И.
ХАЙДУ ЖӘНЕ ТАЛАС ҚҰРЫЛТАЙЫНЫҢ ТАРИХИ МАҢЫЗЫ
Каратаев Ә.Ә.
ҚАЗАҚ ХАНДЫҒЫНЫҢ САЯСИ-ӘКІМШІЛІК ЖҮЙЕСІ:
ҚАНАТТАР, ЖҮЗДЕР, ТАЙПАЛАР ЖӘНЕ ШЕЖІРЕ658
Легкий Д.М., Турежанова С.А., Саметова Г.С.
ҚАЗАҚ АКСР ДЕНСАУЛЫҚ САҚТАУ ЖҮЙЕСІНДЕГІ ҚОСТАНАЙ
АКУШЕРЛІК ТЕХНИКУМЫ (1929–1935 ЖЖ.)
Сатенова М.Р., Оразов Р.Е.
ТАШКЕНТ ОАЗИСІНДЕГІ ҰЛЫ ЖҮЗДІҢ ДАЛАЛЫҚ ЭЛИТАСЫ (XVIII F.)
Шотанова Г.А., Сатенова М.Р.
РЕСЕЙ ИМПЕРИЯСЫНЫҢ ЭМБА ЖӘНЕ ОЙЫЛ БЕКІНІСТЕРІНІҢ
БАТЫС ҚАЗАҚСТАН ТАРИХЫНДАҒЫ РӨЛІ МЕН МАҢЫЗЫ
Эгамбердиев М., Ахантаева Ә., Ахантаева Г.
СЫРДЫҢ ТӨМЕНГІ ЖӘНЕ ОРТА АҒЫСЫНДАҒЫ ҚАЗАҚТАРДЫҢ ШАРУАШЫЛЫҚ САЛАЛАРЫ: ДИҚАНШЫЛЫҚ, МАЛ ЖӘНЕ БАЛЫҚ АУЛАУ (XIX ғ. ортасы мен XX ғ. басы)
לות ארוווסויזסות, ויואיז אסרב סאזסות איזאי (אוא ד. טיומטא מאראא ד. טמטא)
АНТРОПОЛОГИЯ

СОДЕРЖАНИЕ

ТЕОРИЯ И МЕТОДОЛОГИИ

Абуов Н.А., Маликова С.З.
КОЛЛЕКТИВИЗАЦИЯ И ГОЛОД В СЕВЕРНОМ КАЗАХСТАНЕ
В КОНТЕКСТЕ СОЦИАЛЬНОЙ ТРАГЕДИИ
Нуртазина Н.Д., Картабаева Е.Т., Дауытбекова М.К.
НАСЛЕДИЕ КАЗАХСКИХ ИШАНОВ И СВЯТЫХ ЦЕЛИТЕЛЕЙ: НОВЫЕ ПОДХОДЫ И ПЕРСПЕКТИВЫ
ИЗУЧЕНИЯ В КОНТЕКСТЕ МУСУЛЬМАНСКО-СУФИЙСКОЙ ТРАДИЦИИ ЦЕНТРАЛЬНОЙ АЗИИ541
ИСТОРИЯ
Алмагамбетова А., Уста А.
ГЛАВНЫЕ ДОЛЖНОСТИ ПРИ ДВОРЕ КАРАХАНИДОВ: ФОРМИРОВАНИЕ ВНЕШНЕЙ ПОЛИТИКИ558
Бейсембаева А.Р., Абенова Г.А., Мамытова С.Н.
ВОССТАНИЕ АМУРСАНЫ И КАЗАХСКИЕ ОПОЛЧЕНИЯ В 50-Е ГГ. ХVIII В.:
НА ОСНОВЕ АРХИВНЫХ МАТЕРИАЛОВ
Жолдасулы Т., Тайман С.Т., Кудайбергенова А.И.
РЕЛИГИОЗНЫЙ ХАРАКТЕР ДВИЖЕНИЯ БАСМАЧЕСТВА
В СОВЕТСКОЙ СРЕДНЕЙ АЗИИ (1918–1930 гг.)
Жумаганбетов Т.С.
ЧЕТЫРЕ ФАКТОРА ЧАГАТАЙСКОГО УЛУСА В ВОПРОС ВОЗНИКНОВЕНИЯ
КАЗАХСКОГО ХАНСТВА (КАЗАХСКО-ЧАГАТАЙСКИЕ ОТНОШЕНИЯ)
Исмаилзаде С.Д., Женис Ж.Ж.
ОБЗОР ИССЛЕДОВАНИЙ ПО ИСТОРИИ КЫПЧАКОВ ЮЖНОГО КАВКАЗА В XI-XIV В.В
Крупко И.В., Бурханов Б.Б.
ИДЕОЛОГИЧЕСКАЯ ИСТОРИЯ «АЗ И Я»: ОТ ОСУЖДЕНИЯ К ОБСУЖДЕНИЮ
Кушенова Г.И.
ХАЙДУ И ИСТОРИЧЕСКОЕ ЗНАЧЕНИЕ ТАЛАССКОГО КУРУЛТАЯ
Каратаев А.А.
ПОЛИТИКО-АДМИНСТРАТИВНАЯ СИСТЕМА КАЗАХСКОГО ХАНСТВА:
КРЫЛЬЯ, ЖУЗЫ, ПЛЕМЕНА И ШЕЖИРЕ
Легкий Д.М., Турежанова С.А., Саметова Г.С.
КУСТАНАЙСКИЙ АКУШЕРСКИЙ ТЕХНИКУМ
В СИСТЕМЕ ЗДРАВООХРАНЕНИЯ КАЗАХСКОЙ АССР (1929–1935 ГГ.)
Сатенова М.Р., Оразов Р.Е.
СТЕПНАЯ ЭЛИТА СТАРШЕГО ЖУЗА В ТАШКЕНТСКОМ ОАЗИСЕ (XVIII В.)687
Шотанова Г.А., Сатенова М.Р.
РОЛЬ И ЗНАЧЕНИЕ ЭМБИНСКОГО И УИЛСКОГО УКРЕПЛЕНИЙ РОССИЙСКОЙ ИМПЕРИИ
В ИСТОРИИ ЗАПАДНОГО КАЗАХСТАНА
Эгамбердиев М., Ахантаева А., Ахантаева Г.
ОТРАСЛИ ХОЗЯЙСТВА КАЗАХОВ НИЖНЕГО И СРЕДНЕГО ТЕЧЕНИЯ СЫРДАРЬИ: ЗЕМЛЕДЕЛИЕ,
ЖИВОТНОВОДСТВО И РЫБОЛОВСТВО (середина XIX-начало XX в.)
АНТРОПОЛОГИЯ
Шайкен Ж.А.
Υ ΡΟΠΡΟΟΥ ΙΙΖΥΠΕΗΙΑ ΡΑΗΗΕΤΙΟΡΙΟΥΛΙΥ ΜΕΜΟΡΙΑ ΠΙΗΟ ΥΥΠΙΤΟΡΙΙΥ

К ВОПРОСУ ИЗУЧЕНИЯ РАННЕТЮРКСКИХ МЕМОРИАЛЬНО-КУЛЬТОВЫХ	
КАМЕННЫХ СООРУЖЕНИЙ ТИПА «ДЫН»	729
КОМПЛЕКС СО СТЕЛОЙ И РЕЛЬЕФНЫМ ИЗОБРАЖЕНИЕМ ЛИЦА	
В ГОРАХ САНДЫКТАУ (СЕВЕРНЫЙ КАЗАХСТАН)	748
Ярыгин С., Сакенов С., Шульга В. КОМПЛЕКС СО СТЕЛОЙ И РЕЛЬЕФНЫМ ИЗОБРАЖЕНИЕМ ЛИЦА В ГОРАХ САНДЫКТАУ (СЕВЕРНЫЙ КАЗАХСТАН)	748

CONTENTS

THEORY OF METHODOLOGY

Abuov N.A., Malikova S.Z.		
COLLECTIVISATION AND FAMINE IN NORTHERN KAZAKHSTAN		
IN THE CONTEXT OF SOCIAL TRAGEDY		
Nurtazina N.D., Kartabayeva E.T., Dautbekova M.K.		
THE LEGACY OF THE KAZAKH ISHANS AND HEALING SAINTS: NEW APPROACHES AND PROSPECTS		
FOR STUDYING IN THE CONTEXT OF THE ISLAMIC-SUFI TRADITION OF CENTRAL ASIA		
HISTORY		
Almagambetova A., Usta A.		
KEY OFFICIALS IN THE QARAKHANID COURT: SHAPING FOREIGN POLICY558		
Beisembayeva A.R., Abenova G.A., Mamytova S.N.		
THE UPRISING OF AMURSANA AND KAZAKH MILITIAS IN THE 1750S:		
BASED ON ARCHIVAL MATERIALS		
Zholdassuly T., Taiman S.T., Kudaibergenova A.		
THE RELIGIOUS NATURE OF THE BASMACHI MOVEMENT		
IN SOVIET CENTRAL ASIA (1918–1930)		
Zhumaganbetov T.S.		
FOUR FACTORS OF THE CHAGATAI ULUS IN THE ISSUE OF THE EMERGENCE OF THE KAZAKH		
KHANATE (KAZAKH-CHAGATAI RELATIONS)		
Ismailzade S.J., Zhenis Zh.		
REVIEW OF RESEARCHES ON THE HISTORY OF THE KIPCHAKS OF THE SOUTH CAUCASUS		
IN THE XI–XIV CENTURIES		
Krupko I.V., Burkhanov B.B.		
IDEOLOGICAL HISTORY OF "AZ I YA": FROM CONDEMNATION TO DISCUSSION		
Kushenova G.		
QAIDU AND THE HISTORICAL SIGNIFICANCE OF THE TALAS QURILTAI		
Karatayev A.A.		
POLITICAL-ADMINISTRATIVE SYSTEM		
OF THE KAZAKH KHANATE: WINGS, ZHUZES, TRIBES AND SHEZHIRE		
Legkiy D.M., Turezhanova S.A., Sametova G.S.		
KUSTANAY OBSTETRICAL TECHNICAL SCHOOL		
IN THE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM OF THE KAZAKH ASSR (1929–1935)		
Satenova M.R., Orazov R.E.		
THE STEPPE ELITE OF THE SENIOR ZHUZ IN THE TASHKENT OASIS (XVIII CENTURY)		
Shotanova G.A., Satenova M.R.		
THE ROLE AND SIGNIFICANCE OF THE EMBA AND UIL FORTIFICATIONS OF THE RUSSIAN EMPIRE		
IN THE HISTORY OF WESTERN KAZAKHSTAN		
Egamberdiyev M., Akhantaeva A., Akhantaeva G.		
ECONOMIC SECTORS OF THE KAZAKHS ENGAGED IN THE LOWER AND MIDDLE REACHES OF THE SYR:		
AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK AND FISHING (mid-XIX – early XX centuries)		
ANTHROPOLOGY		
Shaiken J.A.		
ON THE ISSUE OF STUDYING EARLY TURKIC MEMORIAL AND CULT STONE		

ON THE ISSUE OF STUDYING EARLY TURKIC MEMORIAL AND CULT STONE	
STRUCTURES OF THE "MELON" TYPE	.729
Yarygin S., Sakenov S., Shulga V.	
COMPLEX OF A STELE AND A RELIEF IMAGE OF A FACE	
IN THE SANDYKTAU MOUNTAINS (NORTH KAZAKHSTAN)	.748

EDU.E-HISTORY.KZ

электрондық ғылыми журналы 2024. 11 (3) Бас редактор: Қабылдинов З.Е.

> Компьютерде беттеген: Копеева С.Ж.

Жарияланған күні: 20.09.2024. Пішімі 70х100/16. Баспа табағы 21,125.

Құрылтайшысы және баспагері: Қазақстан Республикасы Ғылым және жоғары білім министрлігі Ғылым комитетіШ.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институты ШЖҚ РМК

Редакция мен баспаның мекен-жайы: 050010, Қазақстан Республикасы, Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28-үй ҚР ҒЖБМ ҒК Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов атындағы Тарих және этнология институты ШЖҚ РМК Тел.: +7 (727) 261-67-19, +7 (727) 272-47-59

> E-mail: edu.history@bk.ru Журнал сайты: https://edu.e-history.kz

Ш.Ш. Уәлиханов ат. ТжЭИ басылған: 050010 Қазақстан Республикасы, Алматы қ., Шевченко көш., 28-үй